(A) BELLSOUTH

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

333 Commerce Street Suite 2101 Nashville, TN 37201-3300

guy.hicks@bellsouth.com

1 SEP 29 September 29, 2000

Guy M. Hicks General Counsel

615 214-6301 Fax 615 214-7406

VIA HAND DELIVERY

David Waddell, Executive Secretary Tennessee Regulatory Authority 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37238

RE:

All Telephone Companies Tariff Filings Regarding Reclassification Of Pay Telephone Service As Required By Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Docket 96-128

Docket No. 97-00409

Dear Mr. Waddell:

Enclosed the are original and thirteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Responses to Tennessee Payphone Owners Association Supplemental Data Requests. Copies of the enclosed are being provided to counsel of record for all parties.

> Jery truly yours, Guy M. Hicks

GMH:ch Enclosure



BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY REGIO TO

Nashville, Tennessee

PEDULATIN STR.

*no 859 29 AM 10 22

In Re:

Tariff Filings by Local Exchange Companies to Comply with FCC Order 96-439,

Concerning the Reclassification of Pay Telephones

EXECULA É GUSKETÁRY

Docket No. 97-00409

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S RESPONSES TO TENNESSEE PAYPHONE OWNERS ASSOCIATION SUPPLEMENTAL DATA REQUESTS

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 97-00409 TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests September 22, 2000 Item No. 1 Page 1 of 1

Refer to page A.1.10 (bates stamp 000028) of Exhibit DDC-1. REQUEST:

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet A.1.10 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- RESPONSE: a. See response to part c.
 - b. See response to part c.
 - c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000). TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 97-00409 TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests September 22, 2000 Item No. 2 Page 1 of 1

Refer to page C.1.1 (bates stamp 000032) of Exhibit DDC-1. REQUEST:

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet C.1.1 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- RESPONSE: a. See response to part c.
 - b. See response to part c.
 - c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000), TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
Tennessee Regulatory Authority
Docket No. 97-00409
TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests
September 22, 2000
Item No. 3
Page 1 of 1

REQUEST: Refer to page C.1.2 (bates stamp 000038) of Exhibit DDC-1.

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet C.1.2 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- b. See response to part c.
- c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000), TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 97-00409 TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests September 22, 2000 Item No. 4 Page 1 of 1

REQUEST: Refer to page C.2.1 (bates stamp 000044) of Exhibit DDC-1.

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet C.2.1 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- RESPONSE: a. See response to part c.
 - b. See response to part c.
 - c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000). TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 97-00409 TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests September 22, 2000 Item No. 5 Page 1 of 1

Refer to page C.2.2 (bates stamp 000050) of Exhibit DDC-1. REQUEST:

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet C.2.2 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- RESPONSE: a. See response to part c.
 - b. See response to part c.
 - c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000), TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
Tennessee Regulatory Authority
Docket No. 97-00409
TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests
September 22, 2000
Item No. 6
Page 1 of 1

REQUEST: Refer to page D.1.1 (bates stamp 000056) of Exhibit DDC-1.

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet D.1.1 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- b. See response to part c.
- c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000), TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 97-00409 TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests September 22, 2000 Item No. 7 Page 1 of 1

Refer to page D.1.2 (bates stamp 000063) of Exhibit DDC-1. REQUEST:

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet D.1.2 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- RESPONSE: a. See response to part c.
 - b. See response to part c.
 - c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000), TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
Tennessee Regulatory Authority
Docket No. 97-00409
TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests
September 22, 2000
Item No. 8
Page 1 of 1

REQUEST: Refer to page S.1.1 (bates stamp 000067) of Exhibit DDC-1.

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet S.1.1 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- b. See response to part c.
- c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000), TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 97-00409 TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests September 22, 2000 Item No. 9 Page 1 of 1

Refer to page S.1.2 (bates stamp 000071) of Exhibit DDC-1. REQUEST:

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet S.1.2 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- RESPONSE: a. See response to part c.
 - b. See response to part c.
 - c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000), TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
Tennessee Regulatory Authority
Docket No. 97-00409
TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests
September 22, 2000
Item No. 10
Page 1 of 1

REQUEST: Refer to page S.1.3 (bates stamp 000076) of Exhibit DDC-1.

- a. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the entries in the column entitled "Shared Cost" be \$0.00.
- b. Describe in detail the steps taken by BellSouth to cause the "Common Cost Factor" to be 1.0000.
- c. Provide a copy of worksheet S.1.3 as it would have appeared if BellSouth had not undertaken the steps described in parts a. and b. above, but instead had permitted the worksheet to produce and report a "Monthly Economic Cost" that includes the level of shared and common costs that would otherwise have been produced by the model. To be clear, TPTA is asking BellSouth to hold all other worksheet inputs constant as presented in Exhibit DDC-1, and to specifically restore the portions of the worksheet that calculate "Shared Cost" and "Common Cost" to the condition previously approved by the Authority.

- b. See response to part c.
- c. The cost study filed by BellSouth utilizes TSLRIC methodology as specified by FCC Docket No. 96-128. The steps requested by the TPOA would develop a TELRIC study. BellSouth has not performed these steps and it is not appropriate to do so because, as stated in previous responses to the TPOA's data requests (see Item No. 1 dated July 3, 1997 and Item No. 6 dated July 25, 2000), TELRIC is not the correct methodology for costing payphone access services.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
Tennessee Regulatory Authority
Docket No. 97-00409
TPOA's Supplemental Discovery Requests
September 22, 2000
Item No. 11
Page 1 of 1

REQUEST: Define "reasonable overhead."

RESPONSE: In the context of a "new services" test analysis, a reasonable level of overhead

means that BellSouth's service rate levels must fall within a range of cost/price

ratios that have previously been accepted by the FCC for interstate filings.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 29, 2000, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record, as follows:

[] Hand[\(\) Mail[] Facsimile[] Overnight	Vincent Williams, Esquire Consumer Advocate Division 426 5th Avenue, N., 2nd Floor Nashville, TN 37243
[] Hand	T. G. Pappas, Esquire
[•] Mail	Bass, Berry & Sims
[] Facsimile	315 Deaderick Street, Suite 2700
[] Overnight	Nashville, TN 37238-0002
[] Hand	James Wright, Esquire
[] Mail	United Telephone - Southeast
[] Facsimile	14111 Capitol Blvd.
[] Overnight	Wake Forest, NC 27587
[] Hand	Richard Tettlebaum, Esquire
[•] Mail	Citizens Telecommunications
[] Facsimile	1400 16th St., NW, #500
[] Overnight	Washington, DC 20036
[] Hand	Jon Hastings, Esquire
[] Mail	Boult, Cummings, et al.
[] Facsimile	P. O. Box 198062
[] Overnight	Nashville, TN 37219-8062
[] Hand[] Mail[] Facsimile[] Overnight	Val Sanford, Esquire Gullett, Sanford, Robinson & Martin 230 Fourth Ave., N., 3d Fl. Nashville, TN 37219-8888
[] Hand[] Mail[] Facsimile[] Overnight	Henry Walker, Esquire Boult, Cummings, et al. P. O. Box 198062 Nashville, TN 37219-8062

[]	Hand
[1	Mail
[]	Facsimile
[]	Overnight

Guilford Thornton, Esquire Stokes, Bartholomew, et al. 424 Church St., #2800 Nashville, TN 37219-2323

